THE TWO-CARDINAL PROBLEM FOR LANGUAGES OF ARBITRARY CARDINALITY

LUIS MIGUEL VILLEGAS SILVA

Abstract. Let \mathcal{L} be a first-order language of cardinality κ^{++} with a distinguished unary predicate symbol U. In this paper we prove, working on L, the two cardinal transfer theorem $(\kappa^+, \kappa) \Rightarrow (\kappa^{++}, \kappa^+)$ for this language. This problem was posed by Chang and Keisler more than twenty years ago.

§1. Introduction. The aim and content of this paper are twofold: first we solve an old open problem in model theory; secondly we give an application of the morasses which is different from the traditional use of them.

Concerning the first part, the two cardinal transfer theorem for countable languages has been worked for several authors: Let κ , λ be infinite cardinals. Let \mathcal{L} be a *countable* language with at least one unary predicate symbol U. Let T be an \mathcal{L} -theory with a model $\mathfrak{A} = (A, U^{\mathfrak{A}})$, where $|A| = \kappa^+$ and $|U^{\mathfrak{A}}| = \kappa$. Then T has a model $\mathfrak{B} = (B, U^{\mathfrak{B}})$ such that $|B| = \lambda^+$, $|U^{\mathfrak{B}}| = \lambda$ and $\mathfrak{A} \equiv \mathfrak{B}$. This transfer theorem is denoted

$$(\kappa^+,\kappa) \Rightarrow (\lambda^+,\lambda),$$

and it is also known as the gap-1 transfer theorem. Chang solved the problem [Chang65] under GCH for λ regular. Silver found a solution [Jen72] for λ singular also under GCH.

As a particular case of the Gap-1 problem, we obtain the transfer theorem

$$(\kappa^+,\kappa) \Rightarrow (\kappa^{++},\kappa^+),$$

for countable languages.

Under the hypothesis of the existence of a $(\kappa^+, 1)$ -coarse morass, we can prove this transfer theorem even for languages of cardinality κ^+ (a proof of this appears in [Vill06]).

Chang and Keisler posed the following problem [CK93, p. 531, Problem 7.2.17]: given a language \mathcal{L} of *arbitrary cardinality* with a distinguished unary predicate symbol U and an \mathcal{L} -theory T with a model $\mathfrak{A} = (A, U^{\mathfrak{A}})$, where $|A| = \kappa^+$ and

Received June 15, 2006.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03C55, 03C80, 03E05, 03E45; secondary 03C50, 03E35, 03E65.

Key words and phrases. Coarse morass, cardinal transfer theorem, two-cardinal problem.

This research was partially supported by CONACYT, México (sabbatical grant).

We know that $\mathfrak{A}^{\alpha} \preccurlyeq_{0} \mathfrak{A}_{\nu}$, hence $U^{\mathfrak{A}^{\alpha}} \subseteq U^{\mathfrak{A}_{\nu}}$.

Let $x \in \mathfrak{A}_{\nu}$ with $\mathfrak{A}_{\nu} \models Ux$. By definition of \mathfrak{A}_{ν} , we can find $\overline{\nu} \mathfrak{D} \nu$ and $\overline{x} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\overline{\nu}}$ such that $\eta_{\overline{\nu}\nu}(\overline{x}) = x$. Since $\eta_{\overline{\nu}\nu}$ is an $\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\nu}}$ -embbedding, we have $\mathfrak{A}_{\overline{\nu}} \models U\overline{x}$.

Observe that (h) holds at the level $\alpha_{\overline{\nu}}$, so we know that $U^{\mathfrak{A}_{\overline{\nu}}} = U^{\mathfrak{A}^{\alpha_{\overline{\nu}}}}$, hence $\overline{x} \in U^{\mathfrak{A}_{\alpha_{\overline{\nu}}}}$. Therefore $\eta_{\overline{\nu}\nu}(\overline{x}) = \overline{x} = x \in \mathfrak{A}^{\alpha}$ and since $\mathfrak{A}^{\alpha} \preccurlyeq_{0} \mathfrak{A}_{\nu}, \mathfrak{A}^{\alpha} \models Ux$, so $x \in U^{\mathfrak{A}^{\alpha}}$.

We have finished with the recursive construction.

Now we can take $\mathfrak{B} = \bigcup_{\nu \in S_{\kappa^+}} \mathfrak{A}_{\nu}$: $\mathfrak{B} \equiv \mathfrak{A}$; since $|U^{\kappa^+}| = \kappa^+$ and $U^{\kappa^+} = U^{\mathfrak{A}_{\nu}}$ for every $\nu \in S_{\kappa^+}$, we have $|U^{\mathfrak{B}}| = \kappa^+$ and of course $|B| = \kappa^{++}$. We are done. \Box

PROBLEM 7.2. From [KenSh02, Corollary 3] we know the following result: Assume $(\aleph_1, \aleph_0) \Rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$. If M is a \mathcal{L} -model with $|\mathcal{L}| \leq \aleph_1$, and D is a regular ultrafilter on \aleph_1 , then M^{\aleph_1}/D is \aleph_3 -universal.

Taking for granted the transfer result of the present paper $(\aleph_1, \aleph_0) \Rightarrow (\aleph_2, \aleph_1)$, is it true that if M is a \mathcal{L} -model with $|\mathcal{L}| = \aleph_2$ and D is a regular ultrafilter on \aleph_1 , then M^{\aleph_1}/D is \aleph_3 -universal?

PROBLEM 7.3. For which cardinalities $|\mathcal{L}|$ holds de relation

$$(\kappa^{++},\kappa) \Rightarrow (\kappa^{+++},\kappa^{+})?$$

§8. Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Prof. R. B. Jensen for uncountable many conversations about morasses, L and fine structure and for his constant support and encouragement while I was trying to solve the problem and when I was writing and correcting this paper.

I am extremely grateful to the mathematical departments of The Humboldt Universität and Technische Universität in Berlin for their hospitality during the preparation of this paper.

I should also like to thank the referee for very extensive suggestions on organization and presentation which have allowed me to express this material with greater clarity than in preliminaries version while removing several inaccuracies.

REFERENCES

[Chang65] C. C. CHANG, A note on the two-cardinal problem, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 16 (1965), pp. 1148–1155.

[CK93] C. C. CHANG and H. J. KEISLER, Model theory, 3rd ed., North-Holland, 1993.

[Dev84] K. DEVLIN, Constructibility, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[Don81] H. D. DONDER, *Coarse morasses in L*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 872, Springer-Verlag, 1981.

[Hod93] W. HODGES, Model theory, Cambridge Universiy Press, 1993.

[Jen72] R. B. JENSEN, The fine structure of the constructible hierarchy, Annals of Mathematical Logic, vol. 4 (1972), pp. 229–308.

[JenKar] R. B. JENSEN and C. KARP, *Primitive recursive set functions, Axiomatic set theory* (D. Scott, editor), Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, vol. 13, American Mathematical Society, 1971, pp. 143–167.

[Jen1] R. B. JENSEN, Morasses I, (unpublished manuscript).

[Jen2] ——, C-morasses, (unpublished manuscript).

[KenSh02] J. KENNEDY and S. SHELAH, On regular reduced products, this JOURNAL, vol. 67 (2002), pp. 1169–1177.

[Vill06] L. M. VILLEGAS-SILVA, A gap-1 transfer theorem, Mathematical Logic Quarterly, vol. 52 (2006), no. 4, pp. 340-350.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA METROPOLITANA IZTAPALAPA AV. SAN RAFAEL ATLIXCO 186 COL. VICENTINA IZTAPALAPA, 09340 D.F., MÉXICO *E-mail*: lmvs@xanum.uam.mx